	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/038,989	MIERNIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael T. Thier	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to 7/31/2006.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>21-29 and 31-39</u> .			
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.			
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.			
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached			
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🗍 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			
	·		
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	6. Interview Summary	ratent Application (PTO-152) (PTO-413),	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail Da 98), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendr		
Paper No./Mail Date  4.  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	8.   Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
or blological Material	9.  Other		
	SUPERI	GEORGE ENG	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		/ISORY PATENT EXAMINER Part of Paper No. (Mail Date 20060804	
PTOL-37 (Rev. 7-05)	otice of Allowability	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060804	

Application/Control Number: 10/038,989

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Christa Brown-Sanford on 8/8/2006.

Claims 31-39 are amended in this examiners amendment. The claims are amended as follows:

- 31. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 32, the legic software further operable to determine the base service class and the upgraded service class for the connection from a service policy associated with the connection.
- 32. (Currently Amended) A system Software for determining a service class for a connection to be established, the software embodied in a computer readable medium and operable to: , comprising:

logic encoded in media;

the logic operable to determine a base service class for the connection, to connection;

determine an upgraded service class for the connection, to connection;

Application/Control Number: 10/038,989

Art Unit: 2617

Page 3

determine whether a performance increase is available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base service class to the upgraded service class;

estimate the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base service class to the upgraded service class, and to ; and

upgrade the service class if the performance increase meets an upgraded criteria.

- 33. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 32, the legic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on packet delay of at least one of the base service class and the upgraded service class.
- 34. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 33, the logic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on packet delay at both of the base service class and the upgraded service class.
- 35. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 33, the legic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on packet drop of at least one of the base service class and the upgraded service class.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,989

Art Unit: 2617

36. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 33, the logic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on packet drops at both of the base service class and the upgraded service class.

Page 4

- 37. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 33, the legic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on a current packet queue size of each of the base and the upgraded service classes for a sector of a wireless network in which the connection is to be established.
- 38. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 33, the legic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on a floating window average of the packet queue size for a sector of a wireless network in which the connection is to be established.
- 39. (Currently Amended) The system software of Claim 33, the logic software further operable to determine the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base class to the upgraded class based on both measured and forecasted criteria for both of the base service class and the upgraded service class.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 21-29 and 31-39 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The idea of "estimating the performance increase available to the connection by upgrading its service class from the base service class to the upgraded service class; and upgrading the service class if the performance increase meets an upgraded criteria" has not been taught by any of the prior art of record. Independent claims 22 and 32 both recite this limitation and claims 21, 23-29, 31, and 33-39 depend from these claims, and thus are allowed for the same reasons.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael T. Thier whose telephone number is (571) 272-2832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael T Thier

Examiner Art Unit 2617

8/9/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER